

Local Planning Panel

3 July 2024

Application details

Address: 120 Glebe Point Road, Glebe Application: D/2023/894 Applicant: Cracknell & Lonergan Architects Owner: Aboriginal Housing Office (AHO) Architect/Designer/Consultants: Cracknell & Lonergan Architects

Proposal

Crown Development Application for:

- conservation and restoration works to the main building for a two bedroom affordable housing unit
- demolition of the existing single storey rear addition
- construction of a new two storey rear addition for three, one-bed affordable housing units
- associated landscaping works to the site including removal of a large mature tree (Tree 2)

Recommendation

approval subject to conditions

CITY OF SYDNEY 👁

Notification

- exhibition period 17 October 2023 to 15 November 2023
- 168 owners and occupiers notified
- 5 submissions received

Submissions


• all submissions are in support of the proposed development

Submissions





CITY OF SYDNEY 👁



Other submitters located in: Pyrmont, Stanmore, Camperdown & Summer Hill



7



CITY OF SYDNEY 👁





Site viewed from Glebe Point Road No. 122 Glebe Point Road






No. 124 Glebe Point Road





Existing retaining wall



Tree 2 in front garden



Tree 3 in front garden




Main hallway (main building)

CITY OF SYDNEY 👁





Exiting rear addition




Rear elevation viewed from rear yard








No. 118 Glebe Point Road viewed fromRear elevation viewed from DerwentDerwent LaneLane



Approved development in 2019 at No. 122 Glebe Point Road (and now constructed as shown in following slide)









No. 122 Glebe Point Road viewed fromNo. 122 Glebe Point Road viewed fromDerwent LaneDerwent Lane

Proposal



Floor Plans









Sections and Elevations



Full height aluminium frame windows Full height aluminium frame sliding doors 05. ADDITIONS NEW ROOFING


Zincalume Steel Roof Sheeting

05. LIGHT VENTILATION Glass louvre windows




		BASIX / NATHERS CERTIFICATION	NOTES	WALL LEGEND		DATE	REVISION		TITLE	MATERIALS & FINISHES		DA
-	CDACVMEN	ABN 55 100 940 501	Strate present with contrast on a	CONTROL AND	(a)	22/05/202	DESIGN OPTIONS	10/07/2023 DA ISSUE	PROJECT	PROPOSED MULTI-DWELLING HOUSING	S	401
	CRACKMELL	Narristatal Arthitect: Prior J Lanergan 800 Verteen: Regeneries No. 1000	-Description in the start is properties with allow presidents	[] 0840.042-841.	$(\vee x)$	07/06/202	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	15/11/2023 COUNCIL RFI ISSUE	ADORESS	120 GLEBE POINT ROAD GLEBE		401
1	IONERGAN	156A CHURCH STREET NEWTOWN NEW 2042	All screep or formulae and property of the second statement of the second secon	ate init.	$\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle$	19/06/202	CONSULTANTS ISSUE	23/01/2024 COUNCIL RFI ISSUE	STAGE	COUNCIL APPLICATION (AMENDMENTS)		ISSUE
4	LONLKOAN	PHONE +61 2 9565 1554	For Develop dealing				DA ISSUE	29.04.2024 COUNCIL RFI ISSUE	CLIENT	ABORIGINAL HOUSING OFFICE		E I
	ARCHITECTS PTY LTD	enalgoschreitereger.con.au		ri i	10 0/4	30/06/202	DA ISSUE		SCALE	1:200 @A3, 1:100@A1 DRAMN BY	[CC/HC]	-

Materials and Finishes




Proposed additional details



Proposed Hardscape Plan

21



Proposed Landscape Plan

Compliance with key SEPP (Housing) 2021 controls

	control	proposed	compliance
minimum site area	450sqm	436.2sqm	no but considered acceptable
minimum landscaped area	35sqm per dwelling (140sqm total)	243sqm	yes
deep soil	15%	27.9%	yes
solar access	70% of dwellings – at least 3 hours	70% of dwellings – at least 3 hours	yes

23

Compliance with key SEPP (Housing) 2021 controls

	control	proposed	compliance
parking	1.7 parking spaces	0 parking spaces	no but considered acceptable
minimum unit size	65 sqm for 1 beds	Unit 1 – 2 Bed – 66sqm	no but considered acceptable
	90sqm for 2 beds	Units 2-4 – 1 Bed - >65sqm	

Compliance with key LEP standards

~	
0	
_	
3	
\sim	
-	

	control	proposed	compliance
height	6m	6.1m	no but considered acceptable
floor space ratio	1.5:1	0.62:1	yes

Compliance with DCP controls

	control	proposed	compliance
height in storeys	1	2	no but considered acceptable
solar access	2 hours from 9am to 3pm on 21 June - 1sqm of living room windows & 50% of minimum required private open space	-	yes
landscaping	retention of existing trees	removal of 1 mature tree	partial compliance

Compliance with DCP controls

	control	proposed	compliance
deep soil	15%	27.9%	yes
private open space	16sqm – Min dimension 3m	at least 16sqm with min dimension 3m	yes
visual privacy	maximise visual privacy	visual privacy maximised	yes


CITY OF SYDNEY 🏵

Issues

- height variation
- SEPP (Housing) 2021 variations
- urban ecology and landscaping non-compliance

Height Variation

- non-compliance with heights due to site slope and AHO minimum ceiling heights
- majority of building under height limit
- relates to surrounding property heights



SEPP (Housing) C.18(2)(a) – Minimum Lot Size

- variation is marginal at 3.1%
- existing lot can accommodate 4 suitably sized dwellings with satisfactory amenity
- there is precedent for approving developments on similar lots, such as with the adjacent site, demonstrating lot size can support intended use without negative impacts.
- strict compliance would reduce availability of affordable housing.

SEPP (Housing) C.18(2)(f) – Parking

- proposal does not include any parking (100% variation from 1.7 spaces required)
- Providing parking to front of property would require significant alterations to heritage fabric
- providing space at rear would result in the loss of an affordable housing unit
- site is highly accessible by public transport
- similar nearby development at 122 Glebe Point Road was approved without provision of off-street parking.

SEPP (Housing) C.18(2)(i) – Minimum Unit Size

- the 2-bedroom unit provided is 66sqm (27.7% smaller than the required 90sqm).
- the smaller unit retains heritage fabric by limiting changes to the original four-room structure.
- design meets the AHO design guidelines.
- design maximises affordable housing on site with acceptable amenity.

Urban Ecology and Landscaping Noncompliance

- tree management requested retention of mature trees (Tree 2 and 3) and root mapping for Tree 2 to protect it during construction.
- retaining Tree 2 was found to make the project unfeasible due to its root system location.
- removal conflicts with Sydney DCP 2012 and Tree Management's, but affordable housing benefits outweigh the loss.
- replacement planting for Tree 2 and measures to protect Tree 3's roots during OSD tank installation included.

Recommendation

- approval subject to standard conditions
- condition 14 "OSD TANK TREE PROTECTION" is recommended to protect Tree 3.